

Dear Mr. Vogt,

Reference is made to our previous exchange of e-mails, as well as your response to Ms. Gry Schøll Hansen at Håberget, of which I was recently informed.

You are of course right that at present, a dialogue concerning Kjølberget should primarily involve Eidsiva/Austri Vind. This is why representatives of Austri Vind were among the speakers at the meeting in Elverum on the 10th of January - and why Mr. Svegården of Eidsiva has received copies of our correspondence (including this e-mail).

However, as investor in a number of Norwegian wind power projects and as a potential investor in Kjølberget, Stadtwerke München has a clear responsibility towards its shareholders, the public and indeed the local communities in which it operates, to consider each project carefully and independently. Evaluating the pros and cons, including the negative effects on nature as well as the considerable cultural treasures that may be ruined, the strength of local protests and the subsequent risk of losing reputation and goodwill, should certainly be part of such considerations. Let me, for instance, point to the fact that in 2018, the leading Norwegian newspaper Aftenposten, on its list of global tourist destinations, selected Finnskogen as the second most interesting place to visit. No wonder regional tourist and trekking organisations are deeply worried about the Kjølberget project!

Stadtwerke München and Eidsiva are familiar with the concept of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). Building on the ten Global Compact principles (which SWM has adhered to), the concept comprises a large number of elements, including environmental aspects. Let me remind you of the GC principle nr. 7: *Businesses should support a precautionary approach to environmental challenges*. Just leaning on the consultants' rather questionable reports on the environmental aspects of the Kjølberget project is hardly in line with this principle.

The SWM investments in the Fosen (Trøndelag) and Raskiftet (Hedmark) wind power plants have led to a number of negative media reactions and local protests, in both regions. Many of these are linked to the environmental risks involved. Adding Kjølberget to your list of investments will not improve the situation.

In conclusion, on behalf of concerned locals and a number of environmental organisations, I once again urge you to involve your top management and the SWM Board of Directors in this matter - and to drop further involvement in the Kjølberget project.

Copy: Mr. Thor Svegården, regional/local media.

Regards
Vidar Lindefjeld
political/legal adviser
La Naturen Leve

<https://lanaturenleve.no>

915 17 249